



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ess: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 09/657,297 09/07/00 BARKER 6217 EXAMINER 026317 PM82/0827 AMSTEAD INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED PAPER NUMBER 205 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE 44TH FLOOR 8 CHICAGO IL 60601 3617 DATE MAILED: 08/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

*		
. 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/657,297	BARKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frantz F. Jules	3617
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exercision of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled affective of the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above its less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of the sometime of the specified to reply the specified above, the mailing date of the specified to specified above, the mailing date of the specified to specified above, the mailing date of the specified to specified above, the mailing date of the specified to the specified above, the mailing date of the specified above, the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-28 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ara withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 4-28</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/657,297

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-2, 4-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C: 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaufhold et al in view of Winther

Claims 1-2, 4-8

Kaufhold et al teach all the limitations of claims 1-8 except for a draft gear assembly with a coupler follower having a buff stroke of 4-1/4" or less, a yoke with a draft stroke of 1-1/4" including a draft pocket with a length of 24-5/8" in addition to a second or back resilient member. The general concept of sizing the coupler follower of a draft gear assembly for a particular range of buff stroke and draft stroke including the length of the draft pocket is in the range of common knowledge as illustrated by Winther and has been classified as obvious matter of design preference, see figs. 1-3, columns 1-2, lines 1-72. Moreover, using a second or back resilient member in a draft gear assembly has been classified as an obvious duplication of similar part which accomplishes essentially the same function. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Winther to include the use of a coupler follower having a buff stroke of 4-1/4" or less, a yoke with a draft stroke of 1-1/4" including a draft pocket with a length of 24-5/8" in his advantageous draft gear in order to avoid loss of strength

Application/Control Number: 09/657,297 Art Unit: 3617

in the coupler by increasing the angling of the coupler to better negotiate curve. In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Winther to include the use of a second or back resilient member in his advantageous draft gear as taught by Winther in order to increase the impact loading capability of the draft gear while reducing failure in the assembly.

Claims 9-28

Winther teaches all the limitations of claims 9-28 except for a draft gear having a coupler follower having a buff stroke of 4-1/4" or less a yoke with a draft stroke of 1-1/4" including a draft pocket with a length of 24-5/8". The general concept of sizing the coupler follower of a draft gear assembly for a particular range of buff stroke and draft stroke including the length of the draft pocket is in the range of common knowledge as illustrated by Winther and has been classified as obvious matter of design preference, see Winther figs. 1-3, columns 1-2, lines 1-72. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Winther to include the use of a coupler follower having a buff stroke of 4-1/4" or less a yoke with a draft stroke of 1-1/4" including a draft pocket with a length of 24-5/8" in his advantageous draft gear in order to avoid loss of strength in the coupler by increasing the angling of the coupler to better negotiate curve.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 8/03/01 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Application/Control Number: 09/657,297

Art Unit: 3617

Applicant's argument regarding the limitation of "at least part of the coupler bearing surface being forward of the stop contact surfaces of the coupler follower" is weak to overcome the rejections as Kaufhold et al meet this limitation fully.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Clark, Page, Wilson et al, Blattner, Pelikan are cited to show closely related draft gear having front and rear cushion assembly.

Shramovich, Altherr are cited to show related draft gear coupler having various size buff stroke.

Application/Control Number: 09/657,297

Art Unit: 3617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6 examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (703) 308-8780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113

Frantz F. Jules **Examiner** Art Unit 3617

FFJ

August 23, 2001

S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600